Using Simon's algorithm



Ahmed Alharbi

13 Aug 2017



- Gates and {partial}-measurement
- Simon's Algorithm
- Three attacks
- Remarks & Further readings

$$\begin{split} |0\rangle &= \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha\\\beta \end{bmatrix}\\ |1\rangle &= \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1, \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \end{split}$$

_ . . _ . . _ . . _ . . ,

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle &= \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix} \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha\\\beta \end{bmatrix} \\ |1\rangle &= \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1, \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0| &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \overline{\alpha} \langle 0| + \overline{\beta} \langle 1| = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\alpha} & \overline{\beta} \end{bmatrix} \\ \langle 1| &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \ \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1, \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} |0\rangle &= \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix} \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha\\\beta \end{bmatrix} & \bullet \text{ Tensor product} \\ |x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \begin{bmatrix} y_0\\y\\y\\x_1 \begin{bmatrix} y_0\\y_1\\y\\y_1 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_0y_0\\x_0y_1\\x_1y_0\\x_1y_1 \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$
Tensor product $\begin{aligned} \langle 0| &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \overline{\alpha} \langle 0| + \overline{\beta} \langle 1| &= \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\alpha} & \overline{\beta} \end{bmatrix} \\ \langle 1| &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 1, \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \end{aligned}$

$$|1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \otimes = |101\rangle$$

$$|1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \otimes = |101\rangle \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$|1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle \otimes = |101\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\\end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\\end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\0\\1_{5+1}\\0\\0\\\end{bmatrix}$$

• We are allowed to use unitary transformation *i.e*

$$U \cdot U^{\dagger} = \mathbb{I}$$

Gates

We are allowed to use unitary transformation *i.e* •

$$U \cdot U^{\dagger} = \mathbb{I}$$

Example: Hadamard's gate •

$$- H|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$$

- $-H|1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle |1\rangle)$
- in a compact format: $H|x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle + (-1)^{x}|1\rangle)$
- Or in matrix form: _

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$H|0\rangle \quad H|1\rangle$$

• Given a state $|\psi\rangle = \sum \alpha_i |i\rangle$ probability of getting $|i\rangle$ after measurement is $|\alpha_i|^2$

Gates: evaluating a function

- Suppose we have a function $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^m$
- How do we construct a unitary that evaluates *f*?

Gates: evaluating a function

- Suppose we have a function $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^m$
- How do we construct a unitary that evaluates *f*?
- Solution: define U_f as following:

$$U_f |x\rangle |y\rangle = |x\rangle |y \oplus x\rangle$$

• Is U_f unitary?

Gates: evaluating a function

- Suppose we have a function $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^m$
- How do we construct a unitary that evaluates f?
- Solution: define U_f as following:

 $U_f |x\rangle |y\rangle = |x\rangle |y \oplus x\rangle$

- Is U_f unitary?
- Yes! Proof idea U_f we is a permutation matrix

Gates

• What is the value of $H^{\otimes n}|x_nx_{n-1}\dots x_1
angle$?



• What is the value of $H^{\otimes n}|x_nx_{n-1}\ldots x_1
angle$?

$$\begin{split} H^{\otimes n} |x_n x_{n-1} \dots x_1\rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle + (-1)^{x_n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle\right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle + (-1)^{x_{n-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle\right) \dots \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle + (-1)^{x_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1\rangle\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \left(|0\rangle + (-1)^{x_n} |1\rangle\right) \left(|0\rangle + (-1)^{x_{n-1}} |1\rangle\right) \dots \left(|0\rangle + (-1)^{x_1} |1\rangle\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^n} (-1)^{x \cdot y} |y\rangle \end{split}$$

Gates

• Superposition is extremely useful

$$U_f H^{\otimes n} |00 \dots 0\rangle |00 \dots 0\rangle = U_f \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle |00 \dots 0\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} U_f |x\rangle |00 \dots 0\rangle$$

Gates

• Superposition is extremely useful

$$U_f H^{\otimes n} |00\dots0\rangle |00\dots0\rangle = U_f \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle |00\dots0\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} U_f |x\rangle |00\dots0\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle |f(x)\rangle$$



- Dirac's Notation and {partial}-measurement
- Gates
- Simon's Algorithm
- Three attacks
- Remarks & Further readings

Simon's algorithm

Simon's problem:

Let
$$f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$$
 s.t $f(x \oplus s) = f(x)$ find s

Simon's algorithm

Simon's problem:

Let
$$f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n \text{s.t} f(x \oplus s) = f(x) \text{ find } s$$

Theorem [Simon 1995]:

There exists a quantum algorithm that returns S with high probability using O(n) queries.

$$U_f H^{\otimes n} |00\dots0\rangle |00\dots0\rangle = U_f \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle |00\dots0\rangle$$

$$U_f H^{\otimes n} |00 \dots 0\rangle |00 \dots 0\rangle = U_f \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle |00 \dots 0\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} U_f |x\rangle |00 \dots 0\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle |f(x)\rangle$$

$$U_f H^{\otimes n} |00 \dots 0\rangle |00 \dots 0\rangle = U_f \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle |00 \dots 0\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} U_f |x\rangle |00 \dots 0\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} |x\rangle |f(x)\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_x (|x\rangle + |x \oplus s\rangle) |f(x)\rangle$$

$$U_{f}H^{\otimes n}|00\dots0\rangle|00\dots0\rangle = U_{f}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}}\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^{n}}|x\rangle|00\dots0\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}}\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^{n}}U_{f}|x\rangle|00\dots0\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}}\sum_{x\in\{0,1\}^{n}}|x\rangle|f(x)\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}}\sum_{x}\left(|x\rangle + |x\oplus s\rangle\right)|f(x)\rangle$$

• Apply Hadamard on the first register

$$H^{\otimes n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{x} \left(|x\rangle + |x \oplus s\rangle \right) |f(x)\rangle$$

= $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{y} \left((-1)^{y \cdot x} |y\rangle + (-1)^{y \cdot (x \oplus s)} |y\rangle \right) |f(x)\rangle$



$$H^{\otimes n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_x \left(|x\rangle + |x \oplus s\rangle \right) |f(x)\rangle$$

= $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_y \left((-1)^{y \cdot x} |y\rangle + (-1)^{y \cdot (x \oplus s)} |y\rangle \right) |f(x)\rangle$
= $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_y (-1)^{y \cdot x} \left(1 + (-1)^{y \cdot s} \right) |y\rangle |f(x)\rangle$

• The crucial observation is that when $y.s = 1 \mod 2$ then the probability of observing $|y\rangle |f(x)\rangle$ is 0

- In other words, after measurement we will get y such that $y.s = 0 \mod 2$
- More precisely,
- $y_n s_n \oplus y_{n-1} s_{n-1} \oplus \dots \oplus y_1 s_1 = 0 \mod 2$
- Finally, run the above procedures cn times to collect enough linear equations then use Gauss elimination to find S

Analysis of Simon

- After collection m independent equations, the probability of getting new independent equation is $2^n - 2^m$



Analysis of Simon

- After collection m independent equations, the probability of getting new independent equation is $\frac{2^n 2^m}{2^n}$
- Thus, the success probability after m queries

$$\prod_{m} \frac{2^{n} - 2^{m}}{2^{n}} = \prod_{m} \left(1 - \frac{2^{m}}{2^{n}} \right)$$

Analysis of Simon's

- After collection m independent equations, the probability of getting new independent equation is $\frac{2^n 2^m}{2^n}$
- •
- Thus, the success probability after m queries
- A direct bound $\prod_{m=1}^{k} \left(1 \frac{2^m}{2^n}\right) \ge 1 \sum \frac{1}{2^m}$

$$\prod_{m=1}^{k} \frac{2^n - 2^m}{2^n} = \prod_{m=1}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{2^m}{2^n} \right)$$

Analysis of Simon's

- After collection m independent equations, the probability of getting new independent equation is $\frac{2^n 2^m}{2^n}$
- •
- Thus, the success probability after m queries $\prod_{m=1}^{k} \frac{2^n 2^m}{2^n} = \prod_{m=1}^{k} \left(1 \frac{2^m}{2^n}\right)$
- A direct bound $\prod_{m=1}^{k} \left(1 \frac{2^m}{2^n}\right) \ge 1 \sum \frac{1}{2^m}$

• After n-1 experiments
$$\prod_{m=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{2^m}{2^n} \right) \ge 1 - \sum_{m=2}^n \frac{1}{2^m} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^n}$$

Analysis of Simon's

- After collection m independent equations, the probability of getting new independent equation is $\frac{2^n 2^m}{2^n}$
- •
- Thus, the success probability after m queries

• A direct bound
$$\prod_{m=1}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{2^m}{2^n}\right) \ge 1 - \sum \frac{1}{2^m}$$

$$\prod_{m=1}^{k} \frac{2^n - 2^m}{2^n} = \prod_{m=1}^{k} \left(1 - \frac{2^m}{2^n} \right)$$

• After n-1 experiments
$$\prod_{m=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{2^m}{2^n} \right) \ge 1 - \sum_{m=2}^n \frac{1}{2^m} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^n}$$

• After c(n-1) experiments

$$\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2c} \le e^{-c}$$

Digression: Hidden Subgroup Problem

• Simon's algorithm is a special of HSP over $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2$



- Dirac's Notation
- Gates and {partial}-measurement
- Simon's Algorithm
- > Three attacks
- Analysis
- Remarks & Further readings

Key Retrieval

Even-Mansour



Classical Even-Mansour

$$E_{k_1,k_2}: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$$
, s.t $E_{k_1,k_2}(x) = P(x \oplus k_1) \oplus k_2$
Classical security $O(2^{\frac{n}{2}})$

Even-Mansour



Classical Even-Mansour

$$E_{k_1,k_2}: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$$
, s.t $E_{k_1,k_2}(x) = P(x \oplus k_1) \oplus k_2$
Classical security $O(2^{\frac{n}{2}})$

Quantum Even-Mansour

$$U_{E_{k_1,k_2}}|x\rangle|00\ldots0\rangle = |x\rangle|P(x\oplus k_1)\oplus k_2\rangle$$

Quantum security $O(n)$

Attack

- Define $f(x) = P(x \oplus k_1) \oplus P(x) \oplus k_2$
- Notice that: $f(x) = P(x \oplus k_1) \oplus P(x) \oplus k_2$ $f(x \oplus k_1) = P(x) \oplus P(x \oplus k_1) \oplus k_2$

Attack

- Define $f(x) = P(x \oplus k_1) \oplus P(x) \oplus k_2$
- Notice that: $f(x) = P(x \oplus k_1) \oplus P(x) \oplus k_2$ $f(x \oplus k_1) = P(x) \oplus P(x \oplus k_1) \oplus k_2$
- Our quantum version of *f* is

$$U_f = U_P U_{E_{k_1,k_2}}$$
 where $U_P |x\rangle |y\rangle = |x\rangle |P(x) \oplus y\rangle$

Attack

- Define $f(x) = P(x \oplus k_1) \oplus P(x) \oplus k_2$
- Notice that: $f(x) = P(x \oplus k_1) \oplus P(x) \oplus k_2$ $f(x \oplus s) = P(x) \oplus P(x \oplus k_1) \oplus \oplus k_2$
- Our quantum version of *f* is

 $U_f = U_P U_{E_{k_1,k_2}}$ where $U_P |x\rangle |y\rangle = |x\rangle |P(x) \oplus y\rangle$

- Notice that P is known
- Run Simon's algorithm to get k₁ then simple xor gives us k₂

Forgery Attack

CBC-MAC



CBC-MAC

$$x_0 = 0, x_i = E_{k_1}(x_{i-1} \oplus m_i), CBCMAC(M) = E_{k_2}(x_n)$$



CBC-MAC



CBC-MAC

$$x_0 = 0, x_i = E_{k_1}(x_{i-1} \oplus m_i), CBCMAC(M) = E_{k_2 \oplus R}(x_n)$$



Security definition (informal)



- An adversary query q messages.
- After receiving q tags, if the adversary produces a message *m* with a valid tag t then the message authentication is considered insecure.

Simon's function

• For arbitrary messages m_0 , m_1 define f as following:

$$f : \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\}^{n} \to \{0, 1\}^{n}$$
$$CBCMAC(m_{b} || x) = E_{k_{1}} (E_{k_{1}} (x \oplus E_{k_{1}} (m_{b})))$$
$$= F (x \oplus E_{k_{1}} (m_{b}))$$

Simon's function

• For arbitrary messages m_0 , m_1 define f as following:

$$f: \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\}^{n} \to \{0, 1\}^{n}$$
$$CBCMAC(m_{b} || x) = E_{k_{1}} (E_{k_{1}} (x \oplus E_{k_{1}} (m_{b})))$$
$$= F (x \oplus E_{k_{1}} (m_{b}))$$

Then

$$f(b||x) = f(b'||x') \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x = x' & \text{if } b = b' \\ x = x' \oplus E_{k_1}(m_0) \oplus E_{k_1}(m_1) & \text{if } b \neq b' \end{cases}$$

Simon's function

• For arbitrary messages m_0 , m_1 define f as following:

$$f: \{0, 1\} \times \{0, 1\}^{n} \to \{0, 1\}^{n}$$
$$CBCMAC(m_{b} || x) = E_{k_{1}} (E_{k_{1}} (x \oplus E_{k_{1}} (m_{b})))$$
$$= F (x \oplus E_{k_{1}} (m_{b}))$$

Then

$$f(b||x) = f(b'||x') \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x = x' & \text{if } b = b' \\ x = x' \oplus E_{k_1}(m_0) \oplus E_{k_1}(m_1) & \text{if } b \neq b' \end{cases}$$

Given a tag t of x' then $x' \oplus E_{k_1}(m_0) \oplus E_{k_1}(m_1)$ is a valid message of the same tag

Forgery attack

• Use Simon's algorithm which needs q message to find the period of *f* and save the values.

•

Quantum Distinguisher

Security notion



• Pseudo-random function *f* is secure if there is does not exist an efficient algorithm that can distinguish with non-negligible probability between *f*'s output and a random string.

3-Feistel network



• 3-Feistel network is secure PRP given that all F_i are secure PRP

Quantum distinguisher: 3-Feistel network



- 3-Feistel network is secure PRP given that all F_i are secure Random Permutations
- By examining the right output, we see that its structure is similar to CBC-MAC (Exercise) .
- If the result of running Simon's algorithm is non-zero then it is not a random function.
- PRP is secure classically does not imply it is secure qunatumly



- Dirac's Notation
- Gates and {partial}-measurement
- Simon's Algorithm
- Three attacks
- Remarks & Further readings

• How about approximate Simon's promise?

- How about approximate Simon's promise?
 - Simon's algorithm works! See "Breaking Symmetric Microsystems Using Quantum Period Finding" published in Crypto 2016

- How about approximate Simon's promise?
 - Simon's algorithm works! See "Breaking Symmetric Microsystems Using Quantum Period Finding" published in Crypto 2016
- More attacks
 - "Superposition Attacks on Cryptographic Protocols"
 - "Post-Quantum Security of the CBC, CFB, OFB, CTR, and XTS Modes of Operation"
 - "Breaking Symmetric Cryptosystems Using Quantum Period Finding"
 - "Quantum Key-Recovery on full AEZ" 8 Aug 2017

- How about approximate Simon's promise?
 - Simon's algorithm works! See "Breaking Symmetric Microsystems Using Quantum Period Finding" published in Crypto 2016
- More attacks(Chronologically ordered:
 - "Superposition Attacks on Cryptographic Protocols"
 - "Post-Quantum Security of the CBC, CFB, OFB, CTR, and XTS Modes of Operation"
 - "Breaking Symmetric Cryptosystems Using Quantum Period Finding"
 - "Quantum Key-Recovery on full AEZ" 8 Aug 2017
- Constructing secure ciphers under superposition attacks
 - "Quantum-Secure Message Authentication Codes"
 - "Secure Signatures and Chosen Ciphertext Security in a Quantum Computing World"
 - Replace $\underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_2}_{n}$ with \mathbb{Z}_n as proposed in:
 - "Quantum-Secure Symmetric-Key Cryptography Based on Hidden Shifts"

5.4 Perspectives

The main challenge of my ERC project QUASYModo is to redesign symmetric cryptography for the post-quantum world. The final objective is to construct and recommend symmetric primitives secure in the post-quantum world, as well as the tools needed to properly evaluate them. I will continue to work on this toolbox, and when it is ready, I will use it to: 1) analyze existing cryptosystems/primitives, and 2) design new ones for which we will gain confidence in the post-quantum world.

Some other short-term aims are: improvements on linear cryptanalysis using QFT seem possible, try to find better algorithms for solving the same problem as Kupderberg when having several parallel modular additions, providing a quantized version of improved slide attacks, and study the effect of a smaller than the key state for quantum adversaries (starting for instance quantizing sweet-32). I also plan to start working on the design of a block cipher with an internal state size of 256 bits.

From MÉMOIRE D'HABILITATION À DIRIGER DES RECHERCHES, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris 6 by María Naya-Plasencia, Inria de Paris

Thank you

