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A B S T R A C T

We conjecture that all connected graphs of order $n$ have von Neumann entropy at least as great as the star $K_{1, n-1}$ and prove this for almost all graphs of order $n$. We show that connected graphs of order $n$ have Rényi 2-entropy at least as great as $K_{1, n-1}$ and for $\alpha>1, K_{n}$ maximizes Rényi $\alpha$-entropy over graphs of order $n$. We show that adding an edge to a graph can lower its von Neumann entropy.
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## 1. Introduction

In the density matrix formulation of quantum mechanics, the state of a physical system is represented by a positive semi-definite matrix with unit trace called its density matrix. The von Neumann entropy of a quantum state is defined in terms of the eigenvalues of its density matrix, and provides a means of characterizing its information content, in analogy to the Shannon entropy of a statistical ensemble from classical information theory. Indeed, the von Neumann entropy of a state takes center stage in the burgeoning field of quantum information theory [6].

It is well known that the combinatorial Laplacian matrix $L$ of a finite simple graph is positive semi-definite, and so the matrix $\frac{1}{\operatorname{tr} L} L$ (which has unit trace) can be interpreted as the density matrix of a physical system. It is therefore natural to interpret the von Neumann entropy of such a density matrix as the von Neumann entropy of the corresponding graph, with a view towards characterizing the information content of the graph [1,8].

In this note we study graphs that minimize or maximize von Neumann entropy and its well known generalization, the Rényi $\alpha$-entropy, over (connected) graphs of fixed order. We show (Theorem 2.3) that almost all graphs of order $n$ have von Neumann entropy at least as great as the star $K_{1, n-1}$, all connected graphs of order $n$ have Rényi 2-entropy at least as great as $K_{1, n-1}$ (Theorem 3.8), and for $\alpha>1$ all graphs of order $n$ have Rényi $\alpha$-entropy no greater than that of the complete graph $K_{n}$ (Corollary 3.2); it is known that $K_{n}$ maximizes the von Neumann entropy. We also answer negatively a question from [8] about the effect of adding an edge on von Neumann entropy (Proposition 4.1). The von Neumann entropy and Rényi $\alpha$-entropies of a graph are defined precisely below.

The Shannon entropy of a discrete probability distribution $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ is defined to be

$$
S(p):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{i}}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \log _{2} p_{i}
$$

with $0 \log _{2} 0$ defined to be zero. Let $G$ be a graph that has at least one edge. Consider the (combinatorial) Laplacian scaled to have trace one, $\rho(G):=\frac{1}{\operatorname{tr} L(G)} L(G)$, where $L(G)=D(G)-A(G)$ with $D(G)$ the diagonal matrix of degrees and $A(G)$ the adjacency matrix. The von Neumann entropy of $G$ is defined to be the Shannon entropy of the probability distribution represented by the eigenvalues of $\rho(G)$,

$$
S(G):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \log _{2} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \log _{2} \lambda_{i}
$$

where $\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ is the spectrum of $\rho(G)$ (multiset of eigenvalues), which is denoted by $\operatorname{spec}(\rho(G))$.

For $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 1$, the Rényi entropy of a discrete probability distribution $p=$ $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ is defined as

$$
H_{\alpha}(p)=\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log _{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{\alpha}\right)
$$

this is also called the Rényi $\alpha$-entropy. The limit as $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ of $H_{\alpha}(p)$ is the Shannon entropy $S(p)$, so as done in [9] we define $H_{1}(p)=S(p)$. Since $H_{\alpha}(p)$ is a non-increasing function of $\alpha$ for a fixed $p$ [10], $S(p) \geq H_{\alpha}(p)$ for $\alpha \geq 1$.

For a positive semidefinite matrix $M$ with trace 1, we define $S(M)$ (respectively, $H_{\alpha}(M)$ ) to be equal to the Shannon entropy (respectively, the Rényi $\alpha$-entropy) of the probability distribution given by the eigenvalues of $M$. For a graph $G$, we define $H_{\alpha}(G)=H_{\alpha}(\rho(G))$, the Rényi $\alpha$-entropy of the scaled Laplacian. The Rényi 2-entropy is a useful tool in the study of von Neumann entropy, and Rényi $\alpha$-entropy is interesting in its own right.

The graphs realizing the minimum and maximum von Neumann entropy over all graphs on $n$ vertices are known, but minimizing over connected graphs is still an open question. A graph $G$ has zero von Neumann entropy if and only if one eigenvalue is 1 and the rest are 0 . These spectra are achieved only by graphs of the form $K_{2} \dot{\cup} \overline{K_{n-2}}$.

Proposition 1.1. ([1]) For all graphs on $n$ vertices, the maximum von Neumann entropy is attained by $K_{n}$ with $S\left(K_{n}\right)=\log _{2}(n-1)$, and the minimum von Neumann entropy of 0 is attained by $K_{2} \cup \overline{K_{n-2}}$.

In [8] it was asked whether the star minimizes von Neumann entropy among connected graphs of fixed order, and we conjecture this.

Conjecture 1.2. For all connected graphs on $n$ vertices, the minimum von Neumann entropy is attained by $K_{1, n-1}$.

This conjecture is confirmed by Sage up to 8 vertices; when $G$ is restricted to being a tree, it is true up to 15 vertices [4]. In Theorem 2.3 we show that it is true for almost all graphs as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by use of the Rényi 2-entropy. We also make a conjecture about trees.

Conjecture 1.3. For all trees on $n$ vertices, the maximum von Neumann entropy is attained by $P_{n}$.

This conjecture is confirmed by Sage up to 15 vertices [4].
It is well known (and easy to show) that

$$
\operatorname{spec}\left(L\left(K_{a, b}\right)\right)=\left\{a+b, b^{(a-1)}, a^{(b-1)}, 0\right\}
$$

where $\lambda^{(m)}$ denotes the fact that $\lambda$ has multiplicity $m$. The next result then follows by computation.

Proposition 1.4. For complete bipartite graphs, the von Neumann entropy is

$$
S\left(K_{a, b}\right)=1+\frac{b+1}{2 b} \log _{2} a+\frac{a+1}{2 a} \log _{2} b-\frac{a+b}{2 a b} \log _{2}(a+b) .
$$

Specifically, for stars

$$
S\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)=\log _{2}(2 n-2)-\frac{n}{2 n-2} \log _{2} n
$$

Building graphs from pieces is a standard technique and it is useful to have information about the effect of graph operations and constructions on von Neumann entropy. Let $G$ be a graph. Define $d_{G}$ to be the sum of degrees of all vertices, which is equal to the trace of the combinatorial Laplacian and also equal to twice the number of edges in $G$. In the case of a disjoint union, we can determine the von Neumann entropy of the whole exactly from the entropies of the pieces.

Proposition 1.5. Let $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$ be vertex-disjoint graphs and let

$$
c_{i}=\frac{d_{G_{i}}}{\sum_{j} d_{G_{j}}}
$$

Then

$$
S\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} G_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} S\left(G_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} \log _{2} \frac{1}{c_{i}} .
$$

Proof. Let $\operatorname{spec}\left(\rho\left(G_{i}\right)\right)=\left\{\lambda_{j}(i): j \in\left[n_{i}\right]\right\}$ for $i \in[k]$. Then

$$
\operatorname{spec}\left(\rho\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} G_{i}\right)\right)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k}\left\{c_{i} \lambda_{j}(i): j \in\left[n_{i}\right]\right\}
$$

Therefore, the von Neumann entropy is

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(\dot{U}_{i=1}^{k} G_{i}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} c_{i} \lambda_{j}(i) \log _{2} \frac{1}{c_{i} \lambda_{j}(i)} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(c_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \lambda_{j}(i) \log _{2} \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}(i)}\right)+c_{i} \log _{2} \frac{1}{c_{i}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \lambda_{j}(i)\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} S\left(G_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} \log _{2} \frac{1}{c_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

One way to think of the expression for $S\left(\dot{\cup} G_{i}\right)$ given in the previous proposition is the following: the first summation is a convex combination of the von Neumann entropies of the $G_{i}$ with coefficients $c_{i}$, and the second summation is the Shannon entropy of the probability distribution $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}\right)$.

Theorem 1.6. ([8]) If $G$ and $H$ are two graphs on the same vertex set and $E(G) \cap E(H)=$ $\emptyset$, then

$$
S(G \cup H) \geq \frac{d_{G}}{d_{G}+d_{H}} S(G)+\frac{d_{H}}{d_{G}+d_{H}} S(H) .
$$

In particular, if $G$ is a graph and $e \in E(\bar{G})$, then

$$
S(G+e) \geq \frac{d_{G}}{d_{G}+2} S(G)
$$

The question of whether the factor $\frac{d_{G}}{d_{G}+2}$ can be removed from the second statement was raised in [8].

Question 1.7. ([8]) Is the von Neumann entropy monotonically non-decreasing under edge addition?

We show in Proposition 4.1 that adding an edge can decrease the von Neumann entropy slightly, answering Question 1.7 negatively.

## 2. Using Rényi 2-entropy as a lower bound for von Neumann entropy

In this section we give a lower bound for the von Neumann entropy in terms of the degree sequences of graphs by using the Rényi 2-entropy, using the fact that for all graphs $G$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(G) \geq H_{2}(G) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1. The Rényi 2-entropy of a trace one positive semidefinite matrix $M$ can be expressed in the following useful manner:

$$
H_{2}(M)=-\log _{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{2}\right)=-\log _{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(M^{2}\right)=-\log _{2} \operatorname{sum}(M \circ M)
$$

where $\circ$ denotes the entrywise product (also called the Hadamard or Schur product) and $\operatorname{sum}(M)$ is the sum of the entries of $M$. For a graph $G$ with vertex degrees $d_{i}$ for $i \in[n]$ and degree sum $d_{G}$, the Rényi 2-entropy of its scaled Laplacian $\rho(G)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}(G)=-\log _{2}\left(\frac{d_{G}+\sum_{i} d_{i}^{2}}{d_{G}^{2}}\right)=\log _{2}\left(\frac{d_{G}^{2}}{d_{G}+\sum_{i} d_{i}^{2}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2 (Rényi-Quantum Star Test). Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ vertices satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d_{G}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}+d_{G}} \geq \frac{2 n-2}{n^{\frac{n}{2 n-2}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $S(G) \geq H_{2}(G) \geq S\left(K_{1, n}\right)$.

Table 1
Number of graphs with $H_{2}(G)<S\left(K_{1, n}\right)$.

| $n$ | $\# H_{2}(G)<S\left(K_{1, n}\right)$ | \# connected graphs | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 0.00 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 0.50 |
| 4 | 2 | 6 | 0.33 |
| 5 | 4 | 21 | 0.19 |
| 6 | 8 | 112 | 0.071 |
| 7 | 16 | 853 | 0.019 |
| 8 | 49 | 11117 | 0.0044 |
| 9 | 106 | 261080 | 0.00041 |
| 10 | 307 | 11716571 | 0.000026 |

Proof. Recall that by Proposition 1.4,

$$
S\left(K_{1, n}\right)=\log _{2}(2 n-2)-\frac{n}{2 n-2} \log _{2} n=\log _{2}\left(\frac{2 n-2}{n^{\frac{n}{2 n-2}}}\right)
$$

The result then follows immediately from (1), (2), and the fact that $\log _{2} x$ is increasing.

As shown in Table 1, most graphs of small orders pass the Rényi-Quantum Star Test; the graphs that fail the Rényi-Quantum Star Test are shown in [4] for $n \leq 8$.

All the graphs that fail the Rényi-Quantum Star Test are quite sparse, which led us to the following result.

Theorem 2.3. Let $G$ be a graph on $n$ vertices and $m$ edges with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}-1} \leq \frac{m}{\binom{n}{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., having density at least $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}-1}$. Then $S(G) \geq H_{2}(G) \geq S\left(K_{1, n}\right)$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, almost all graphs satisfy (4).

Proof. Theorem 1 from [2] gives the bound that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2} \leq m\left(\frac{2 m}{n-1}+n-2\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{n}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}-1}\right) & \leq m \\
2 n(n-1) & \leq 4 m\left(n^{1 / 2}-1\right) \\
2(n-1) m\left(\frac{2 m}{n-1}+n\right) & \leq 4 m^{2} n^{1 / 2} \\
2(n-1)\left(m\left(\frac{2 m}{n-1}+n-2\right)+2 m\right) & \leq 4 m^{2} n^{1 / 2} \\
2(n-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}+d_{G}\right) & \leq d_{G}^{2} n^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{n}{2 n-2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\frac{2(n-1)}{n^{\frac{n}{2 n-2}}} \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{n^{1 / 2}} \leq \frac{d_{G}^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}+d_{G}}
$$

Thus we have satisfied the condition for Theorem 2.2 and thus $H_{2}(G) \geq S\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)$.
By [3, Theorem 3.2], if one chooses a graph $G$ at random from all labeled graphs on $n$ vertices, then $|E(G)| \geq \frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{2}-n \sqrt{2 \ln n}$ with probability at least $1-n^{-2}$, justifying the last statement.

Sufficient density implies a graph satisfies the Rényi-Quantum Star Test, but the converse is false. As an example, consider the path $G=P_{n}$, for which $d_{G}=2 n-2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}=4(n-2)+2=4 n-6$. Thus the left hand side of $(3)$ is

$$
\frac{(2 n-2)^{2}}{4 n-6+(2 n-2)} \sim \Theta(n)
$$

while the right hand side is $\Theta\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$. So for large enough $n$, the Rényi-Quantum Star Test shows $S\left(P_{n}\right) \geq H_{2}\left(P_{n}\right) \geq S\left(K_{1, n}\right)$. In fact, for $n \geq 6, H_{2}\left(P_{n}\right) \geq S\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)$. This observation and Theorem 2.2 provide evidence for Conjecture 1.2.

One could naturally ask whether the inequality $S(G) \geq H_{\alpha}(G)$ is tight $(\alpha>1)$, and for what graphs. For a given probability distribution $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$, the Rényi $\alpha$-entropy can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\alpha}(p) & =-\frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log _{2}\left(p_{1} \cdot p_{1}^{\alpha-1}+p_{2} \cdot p_{2}^{\alpha-1}+\cdots+p_{n} \cdot p_{n}^{\alpha-1}\right) \\
& \leq-\frac{1}{\alpha-1}\left[p_{1} \log _{2}\left(p_{1}^{\alpha-1}\right)+p_{2} \log _{2}\left(p_{2}^{\alpha-1}\right)+\cdots+p_{n} \log _{2}\left(p_{n}^{\alpha-1}\right)\right] \\
& =S(p)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the strict convexity of $-\log _{2}$ that $H_{\alpha}(p)$ is strictly less than $\sum_{i}-p_{i} \log _{2}\left(p_{i}\right)$ if and only if the nonzero $p_{i}$ are not all the same. Of course the latter quantity is just the Shannon entropy. Hence $S\left(K_{n}\right)=H_{\alpha}\left(K_{n}\right)$, and this is the only connected graph on $n$ vertices that has $S(G)=H_{\alpha}(G)$ for $\alpha>1$.

## 3. Rényi entropy

For a fixed $\alpha$, it is natural to ask which graph(s) maximize $H_{\alpha}(G)$ among graphs on $n$ vertices, and which graph(s) minimize $H_{\alpha}(G)$, among graphs on $n$ vertices and among connected graphs on $n$ vertices.

Proposition 3.1. Fix $\alpha>1$ and an integer $n \geq 1$. Over all probability distributions $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ :

1. The distribution $p_{0}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$ minimizes $H_{\alpha}(p)$ and this is the only probability distribution (up to permutation of the entries) that does so.
2. The constant distribution $p_{c}=\left(\frac{1}{n}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ maximizes $H_{\alpha}(p)$.

Proof. It is clear that $0 \leq H_{\alpha}(p)$ for all probability distributions $p$, and the only probability distribution that achieves $\alpha$-entropy zero is $p_{0}$.

Now consider $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$. For all $\alpha>1, x^{\alpha}$ is a convex function, so by Jensen's inequality,

$$
\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\alpha}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}}{n}\right)^{\alpha} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} p_{i}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{\alpha} .
$$

Thus $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p^{\alpha}$ attains its minimum when $p_{1}=\cdots=p_{n}=\frac{1}{n}$, and so $-\log _{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{\alpha}\right)$ attains its maximum there.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\alpha>1$. For all (possibly disconnected) graphs $G$ on $n$ vertices,

$$
0=H_{\alpha}\left(K_{2} \dot{\cup} \overline{K_{n-2}}\right) \leq H_{\alpha}(G) \leq H_{\alpha}\left(K_{n}\right)=\log _{2}(n-1)
$$

Furthermore, $K_{2} \dot{\cup} \overline{K_{n-2}}$ is the only graph that minimizes Rényi $\alpha$-entropy for $\alpha>1$.
Proof. It is known that $\operatorname{spec}\left(\rho\left(K_{2} \dot{\cup} \overline{K_{n-2}}\right)\right)=\left\{1,0^{(n-1)}\right\}$ and this is the only graph on $n$ vertices that realizes this spectrum. Therefore, $K_{2} \dot{\cup} \overline{K_{n-2}}$ is the only graph on $n$ vertices with minimum Rényi $\alpha$-entropy.

Observe that $\operatorname{spec}\left(\rho\left(K_{n}\right)\right)=\left\{\frac{1}{n-1}^{(n-1)}, 0\right\}$, so $H_{\alpha}\left(K_{n}\right)=\log _{2}(n-1)$. Since any graph $G$ has at least one Laplacian eigenvalue equal to zero, $H_{\alpha}(G) \leq H_{\alpha}\left(K_{n}\right)$ by Proposition 3.1.

For the minimum over connected graphs, we make the following conjecture.
Conjecture 3.3. Let $\alpha>1$. For any connected graph $G$ on $n$ vertices,

$$
H_{\alpha}\left(K_{1, n-1}\right) \leq H_{\alpha}(G)
$$

The conjecture has been checked for $\alpha=1.1,1.5,5,10$ for up to 8 vertices by Sage using code in [4], and is proved for $\alpha=2$ in Theorem 3.8 below. Notice that since $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 1^{+}} H_{\alpha}(G)=S(G)$, Conjecture 3.3 implies Conjecture 1.2.

The relationship between $H_{2}(G)$ and $H_{2}(H)$ can be described in terms of the degrees of the vertices of $G$ and $H$. Let $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}$ be the degree sequence of $G$. Define

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{2}(G):=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}+d_{G}}{d_{G}^{2}}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho(G)^{2}\right)
$$

From (2), $H_{2}(G)=-\log _{2}\left(\operatorname{tr}_{2}(G)\right)$, so $\operatorname{tr}_{2}(G) \geq \operatorname{tr}_{2}(H)$ if and only if $H_{2}(G) \leq H_{2}(H)$. Therefore, Conjecture 3.3 for $\alpha=2$ is equivalent to saying $\operatorname{tr}_{2}(G) \leq \operatorname{tr}_{2}\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)$ for all connected graphs $G$ on $n$ vertices.

The base case of the proof involves trees, and is proved by using the notion of majorization. Let $\gamma=\left\{c_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\beta=\left\{b_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be two sequences of nonnegative integers with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}$. Assuming the numbers are labeled such that $c_{1} \geq c_{2} \geq$ $\cdots \geq c_{n}$ and $b_{1} \geq b_{2} \geq \cdots \geq b_{n}$, we say that $\gamma$ majorizes $\beta$ if for all $k$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}
$$

where the majorization is said to be strict if one of the inequalities is strict. The next proposition is well known (and easy to prove from the definition).

Proposition 3.4. Let $\gamma=\left\{c_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\beta=\left\{b_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$. If $\gamma$ majorizes $\beta$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2} \geq$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}$, and the inequality is strict if the majorization is strict.

Proposition 3.5. Among trees on $n$ vertices, the star $K_{1, n-1}$ is the unique tree that attains the minimum Rényi 2-entropy, and the path $P_{n}$ is the unique tree that attains the maximum Rényi 2-entropy.

Proof. For fixed $n$, let $\gamma=\left\{d_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be the degree sequence of a tree $T$, in non-increasing order. Since the degree sum for every tree is equal to $2 n-2$, it is enough to show that the degree sequence of $K_{1, n-1}$ strictly majorizes the degree sequence of any other tree and the degree sequence of any other tree strictly majorizes the degree sequence of $P_{n}$.

Since $1 \leq d_{i}$ for all $i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}=2 n-2$,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i} \leq(2 n-2)-(n-k)
$$

and $K_{1, n}$ is the only tree that attains all equality, so $H_{2}\left(K_{1, n}\right)<H_{2}(T)$ for all trees $T$ except $K_{1, n}$ itself.

On the other hand, every tree has at least two leaves, so $d_{n-1}=d_{n}=1$. Under this condition, $P_{n}$ is the only graph such that $\left\{d_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n-2}$ is evenly distributed. Hence every other sequence strictly majorizes the degree sequence of $P_{n}$, so $H_{2}\left(P_{n}\right)>H_{2}(T)$ for all trees $T \neq P_{n}$.

The next result is well known (and straightforward to prove).
Lemma 3.6. Let $\left\{s_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ and $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ be positive real numbers. Then

$$
\min _{i}\left\{\frac{s_{i}}{t_{i}}\right\} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_{i}} \leq \max _{i}\left\{\frac{s_{i}}{t_{i}}\right\}
$$

If the ratios $\frac{s_{i}}{t_{i}}$ are not constant, then both inequalities are strict.

Lemma 3.7. Let $G$ be a connected graph and $e \in E(\bar{G})$. If $\operatorname{tr}_{2}(G) \leq \operatorname{tr}_{2}\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)$, then $\operatorname{tr}_{2}(G+e)<\operatorname{tr}_{2}\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)$.

Proof. Assume that $e=u v$ with $\operatorname{deg}_{G} u=a$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{G} v=b$. Let $\left\{d_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be the degree sequence of $G$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}_{2}(G+e) & =\frac{\left(2 a+2 b+2+\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}\right)+\left(2+\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\right)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\right)^{2}+4\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\right)+4} \\
& =\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\right)+(2 a+2 b+4)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(4+4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we show that

$$
\frac{2 a+2 b+4}{4+4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}}<\operatorname{tr}_{2}\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{3}{4(n-1)},
$$

by showing

$$
2 a+2 b+4<\left(1+\frac{3}{n-1}\right)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\right)
$$

Since $G$ must have at least $a+b$ edges, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \geq 2 a+2 b$; also, since $G$ is connected, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} \geq 2(n-1)$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1+\frac{3}{n-1}\right)\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\right) & =1+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\right)+\frac{3}{n-1}+\left(\frac{3}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}\right) \\
& >1+2 a+2 b+\frac{3 \cdot 2(n-1)}{n-1} \\
& >2 a+2 b+4
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by Lemma 3.6 and the assumption $\operatorname{tr}_{2}(G) \leq \operatorname{tr}_{2}\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)$, we know $\operatorname{tr}_{2}(G+e)<$ $\operatorname{tr}_{2}\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)$.

Theorem 3.8. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices other than $K_{1, n-1}$. Then

$$
H_{2}\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)<H_{2}(G)
$$

Proof. Since every connected graph has a spanning tree as a subgraph, by Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.7 we have $\operatorname{tr}_{2}(G)<\operatorname{tr}_{2}\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)$. Consequently, $H_{2}\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)<H_{2}(G)$.

## 4. Comparison of von Neumann entropy and graph operations and parameters

In this section we examine the effect on von Neumann entropy of adding an edge and show von Neumann entropy is not comparable to many graph parameters. The next result shows that adding an edge is able to decrease von Neumann entropy slightly, providing a negative answer to Question 1.7, which was first asked in [8]. It is straightforward to verify.

Proposition 4.1. Let $v$ and $u$ be the two vertices of degree $n-2$ in $K_{2, n-2}$, and define the edge $e=v u$. Then:

1. $\operatorname{spec}\left(\rho\left(K_{2, n-2}\right)\right)=\left\{\frac{n}{4 n-8}, \frac{n-2}{4 n-8}, \frac{1}{2 n-4}^{(n-3)}, 0\right\}$ and $\operatorname{spec}\left(\rho\left(K_{2, n-2}+e\right)\right)=\left\{\frac{n}{4 n-6}^{(2)}, \frac{1}{2 n-3}^{(n-3)}, 0\right\}$
2. $S\left(K_{2, n-2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{n}{4 n-8} \log _{2} \frac{4 n-8}{n}+\frac{n-3}{2 n-4} \log _{2}(2 n-4)$ and $S\left(K_{2, n-2}+e\right)=\frac{n}{2 n-3} \log _{2} \frac{4 n-6}{n}+\frac{n-3}{2 n-3} \log _{2}(2 n-3)$

For $n \geq 5, S\left(K_{2, n-2}\right)>S\left(K_{2, n-2}+e\right)$.

Proposition 4.1 gives a family of graphs $K_{2, n-2}$ such that the ratio of $S\left(K_{2, n-2}+\right.$ e) $/ S\left(K_{2, n-2}\right)$ to $\frac{d_{G}}{d_{G}+2}$ goes to 1 as $n$ goes to infinity; thus in the asymptotic sense the inequality is tight.

On the other hand, an examination of the proof [7, Proposition 3.1] for density matrices and its extension to graphs in [8] shows that the inequalities in Theorem 1.6 are strict unless the density matrices of $G$ and $H$ are identical, which cannot happen for non-identical graphs (isomorphism does not suffice). Therefore, for any graphs $G$ and $H$ with disjoint edge sets, the inequalities in Theorem 1.6 are always strict.

Inspired by "algebraic connectivity augmentation" of a graph, the computational complexity of which is explored in [5], we define the following decision problem.

## Problem. Entropy Augmentation

Input: A graph $G=(V, E)$, a non-negative integer $k$, a positive real number $x \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Output: YES if and only if there exists a subset $A \in E(\bar{G})$ of size $|A| \leq k$ such that the von Neumann entropy of the augmented graph $S((V, E+A)) \geq x$.

Since algebraic connectivity augmentation is NP-complete, we suggest that by similar reasoning it may be possible to prove that this problem is NP-hard. Its inclusion in NP is of course trivial, the certificate being the edge $e$ that "augments" the entropy by the required amount. We leave the following question open.

Question 4.2. Is EntropyAugmentation an NP-complete decision problem?


Fig. 1. $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)<\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $S\left(G_{1}\right)>S\left(G_{2}\right)$.


Fig. 2. $\operatorname{diam}\left(G_{1}\right)<\operatorname{diam}\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $S\left(G_{1}\right)>S\left(G_{2}\right)$.


Fig. 3. $\Delta\left(G_{1}\right)<\Delta\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $S\left(G_{1}\right)<S\left(G_{2}\right)$.

We have tried to get von Neumann entropy to behave in concert with other graph parameters for a fixed number of vertices and edges. For example, it was suggested that $\alpha^{\prime}(G)<\alpha^{\prime}(H)$ implies $S(G)<S(H)$, where $\alpha^{\prime}(G)$ is the matching number, but this is not true (see Example 4.3 below). Von Neumann entropy and diameter are noncomparable (see Example 4.4 below), and von Neumann entropy and maximum degree are also noncomparable (see Example 4.5 below).

Example 4.3. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be the graphs shown in Fig. 1. Then $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)=2<3=$ $\alpha^{\prime}\left(G_{2}\right)$, but $S\left(G_{1}\right) \approx 1.94466>1.94188 \approx S\left(G_{2}\right)$. Examples with the reverse relation are easy to find, such as $\alpha^{\prime}\left(K_{1,3}\right)=1<2=\alpha^{\prime}\left(P_{4}\right)$ and $S\left(K_{1,3}\right) \approx 1.25163<1.31888 \approx$ $S\left(P_{4}\right)$.

Example 4.4. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be the graphs shown in Fig. 2. Then $\operatorname{diam}\left(G_{1}\right)=4<5=$ $\operatorname{diam}\left(G_{2}\right)$, but $S\left(G_{1}\right) \approx 2.37406>2.35254 \approx S\left(G_{2}\right)$. Examples with the reverse relation are easy to find, such as $\operatorname{diam}\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)=2<3=\operatorname{diam}\left(P_{4}\right)$ and $S\left(K_{1,3}\right)<S\left(P_{4}\right)$.

Example 4.5. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be the graphs shown in Fig. 3. Then $\Delta\left(G_{1}\right)=4<5=$ $\Delta\left(G_{2}\right)$, but $S\left(G_{1}\right) \approx 2.26678<2.27741 \approx S\left(G_{2}\right)$. Examples with the reverse relation are easy to find, such as $\Delta\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)=n-1>2=\Delta\left(P_{n}\right)$ and $S\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)<S\left(P_{n}\right)$, for $n \geq 4$.

Early in the development of spectral graph theory it was asked whether there exist nonisomorphic cospectral graphs, i.e., graphs having the same spectrum (for a particular matrix associated with the graph). For each of the matrices associated with a graph, such as the adjacency and Laplacian matrices, nonisomorphic cospectral have been found. Thus it is natural to ask whether there exist noncospectral graphs having the same von Neumann entropy, i.e., coentropy graphs. A search with Sage produced numerous


Fig. 4. A graph $G$ that has the same von Neumann entropy as $K_{2,6}$ but a different spectrum.
examples of order eight coentropy graphs having different spectra, including those in Example 4.6.

Example 4.6. Let $G$ be the graph shown in Fig. 4. Then $S(G)=\log _{2} 14-\frac{4}{7} \log _{2} 8=$ $S\left(K_{2,6}\right)$, but $\operatorname{spec}(\rho(G))=\left\{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6}^{(2)}, \frac{1}{8}^{(2)}, \frac{1}{24}^{(2)}, 0\right\}$ whereas $\operatorname{spec}\left(\rho\left(K_{2,6}\right)\right)=\left\{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}\right.$, $\left.\frac{1}{12}^{(5)}, 0\right\}$.

## 5. Conclusion

The behavior of von Neumann entropy is challenging to understand. While many rules, such as 'adding an edge raises entropy' work 'most of the time,' as we saw in Proposition 4.1 adding an edge can decrease von Neumann entropy. Thus the Rényi-Quantum Star Test, which works for almost all graphs, seems natural for entropy. Understanding those graphs that fail this test may help to prove Conjecture 1.2.

Problem 5.1. Characterize graphs that fail the Rényi-Quantum Star Test.

We make the following observations on graphs of order at most eight that fail the Rényi-Quantum Star Test:

1. All those that fail have a leaf (degree one vertex).
2. All those that fail are planar.

Another approach to prove Conjecture 1.2 would be to establish Conjecture 3.3.
As noted in Section 4 we have not managed to find an interesting parameter that has nice correlation with (and is not trivially related to) the von Neumann entropy, i.e. a parameter $\beta$ such that for any two graphs $G$ and $H, \beta(G)>\beta(H)$ implies $S(G)>S(H)$.

Problem 5.2. Identify some interesting graph parameter(s) $\beta(G)$ such that $\beta(G)>\beta(H)$ implies $S(G)>S(H)$.
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