

# Known

**Definition.**

$$\mu^{\wedge}(G, \{k, l\}) = \max\{|A| : A \subseteq G, (k^{\wedge}A) \cap (l^{\wedge}A) = \emptyset\}.$$

**Corollary (G.14).** For every group  $G$  of order  $n$  and exponent  $\kappa$  we have

$$\mu(G, \{k, l\}) \geq \mu(\mathbb{Z}_{\kappa}, \{k, l\}) \cdot \frac{n}{\kappa}.$$

**Theorem (G.18).** Let  $\kappa$  be the exponent of  $G$ . Then

$$\mu(G, \{2, 1\}) = \mu(\mathbb{Z}_{\kappa}, \{2, 1\}) \cdot \frac{n}{\kappa} = v_1(\kappa, 3) \cdot \frac{n}{\kappa}.$$

**Proposition (G.63).** Suppose that  $G$  is an abelian group of order  $n$  and exponent  $\kappa$ . Then, for all positive integers  $k$  and  $l$  with  $k > l$  we have

$$\mu^{\wedge}(G, \{k, l\}) \geq \mu(G, \{k, l\}) \geq v_{k-l}(\kappa, k+l) \cdot \frac{n}{\kappa}.$$

**Corollary (G.65).** For positive integers  $n, k,$  and  $l$  with  $l < k \leq n$  we have

$$\mu^{\wedge}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{k, l\}) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n + k^2 + l^2 - \gcd(n, k-l) - 1}{k+l} \right\rfloor.$$

**Theorem (G.67).** (Zannier; cf. [205]) For all positive integers we have

$$\mu^{\wedge}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{2, 1\}) = \begin{cases} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{n}{3} & n \text{ has prime divisors congruent to } 2 \pmod{3}, \\ & \text{and } p \text{ is the smallest such divisor;} \\ \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma (I).**  $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$  has a solution if and only if  $\gcd(a, n)$  divides  $b$ .

**Corollary (From Lemma 3.1 (Sam Edwards)).** For any  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$  (written invariantly), with  $|G| = n$ ,

$$s(G) = \begin{cases} (0, \dots, 0, \frac{n_r}{2}) & n_r \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } n_{r-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# New Conjectures, Propositions, and Proofs

**Lemma 1.** For any set  $A$  and positive integer  $h \leq |A|$ ,

$$|h\hat{A}| \geq |A| - h + 1.$$

PROOF. Write  $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ . Then observe that

$$\begin{aligned} b_h &= a_0 + \dots + a_{h-1} + a_h, \\ b_{h+1} &= a_0 + \dots + a_{h-1} + a_{h+1}, \\ &\vdots \\ b_{m-1} &= a_0 + \dots + a_{h-1} + a_{m-1}, \\ b_m &= a_0 + \dots + a_{h-1} + a_m, \end{aligned}$$

are all distinct since  $a_h, \dots, a_m$  are all distinct. Thus,

$$\{b_h, b_{h+1}, \dots, b_{m-1}, b_m\} \subseteq h\hat{A}$$

so  $|h\hat{A}| \geq m - (h - 1) = |A| - h + 1$ .

□

3/18/19

**Proposition 2.** For all groups  $G$  with order  $n$ , and for all positive integers  $k > l$ ,

$$\mu^\wedge(G, \{k, l\}) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n - 2 + k + l}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

PROOF. Write  $A$  for a  $(k, l)$ -sum-free subset of  $G$  where  $|A| = m = \mu^\wedge(G, \{k, l\})$  and  $n = |G|$ . Using Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} n &\geq |k\hat{A}| + |l\hat{A}| \\ &\geq m - k + 1 + m - l + 1 \\ &\geq 2m - (k + l) + 2 \\ \implies m &\leq \frac{n - 2 + k + l}{2} \\ \implies \mu^\wedge(G, \{k, l\}) &\leq \left\lfloor \frac{n - 2 + k + l}{2} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

□

3/18/19

**Proposition 3.** For all  $|G| = n > 2$ , with  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$ , (written invariantly),

$$\mu^\wedge(G, \{n-1, 1\}) = \begin{cases} n-2 & r \geq 2 \text{ and } n_r \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and } n_{r-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \text{ or} \\ & r = 1 \text{ and } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \\ n-1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. By Proposition 2,

$$\mu^\wedge(G, \{2, 1\}) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-2+n-1+1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{2n-2}{2} \right\rfloor = n-1.$$

Let  $A = G \setminus \{\xi\}$  for some  $\xi \in G$ , so  $|A| = n-1$ , and  $(n-1)^\wedge A \cap 1^\wedge A = \emptyset$  is only satisfied if the sum of the elements of  $A$  is  $\xi$ . Thus,  $\mu^\wedge(G, \{n-1, 1\}) = n-1$  if only if there exists some  $\xi \in G$  such that  $s(G) - \xi = \xi$ . In other words, there must be some  $\xi \in G$  such that

$$s(G) = 2\xi.$$

1  $s(G) = 0$ . Then  $0 = s(G) = 2\xi$  is satisfied with  $\xi = 0$ , so  $\mu^\wedge(G, \{n-1, 1\}) = n-1$ .

2  $s(G) \neq 0$ .

i  $n_r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $\frac{n_r}{2} = s(G) = 2\xi$  is satisfied with  $\xi = \frac{n_r}{4}$ . Thus,  $\mu^\wedge(G, \{n-1, 1\}) = n-1$ .

ii  $n_r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Since 2 does not divide  $\frac{n_r}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , there is no such  $\xi \in G$ . For all  $A \subseteq G$  such that  $|A| = n-2$ , we have

$$(n-1)^\wedge A \cap 1^\wedge A = \emptyset \cap A = \emptyset,$$

so  $\mu^\wedge(G, \{n-1, 1\}) = n-2$ .

□

3/18/19 (rw. 3/27/19)

**Proposition 4.** For all  $|G| = n > 3$ , with  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$ , (written invariantly),

$$\mu^\wedge(G, \{n-2, 1\}) = n-2.$$

PROOF. By Proposition 2,

$$\mu^\wedge(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{n-2, 1\}) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-2+n-2+1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor n - \frac{3}{2} \right\rfloor = n-2.$$

Let  $A = G \setminus \{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$  for some distinct  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in G$ , so  $|A| = n-2$ , and  $(n-2)^\wedge A \cap 1^\wedge A = \emptyset$  is only satisfied if the sum of the elements of  $A$  is  $\xi_1$ , WLOG. Thus,  $\mu^\wedge(G, \{n-2, 1\}) = n-2$  if only if there exists some distinct  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in G$  such that  $s(G) - \xi_1 - \xi_2 = \xi_1$ . That is, there must be some distinct  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in G$  such that

$$s(G) = 2\xi_1 + \xi_2.$$

(i)  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  or  $r \geq 2$  and  $n_{r-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Then  $0 = s(G) = 2\xi_1 + \xi_2$  is satisfied with  $\xi_1 = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$  and  $\xi_2 = (0, \dots, 0, n_r - 2)$  (if  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  $\xi_1 = 1$  and  $\xi_2 = n-2$ ) which are distinct since  $n_r - 2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n_r}$  for all  $n_r > 3$ . Thus,  $\mu^\wedge(G, \{n-2, 1\}) = n-2$ .

(ii)  $n_r \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and  $n_{r-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . When  $n \neq 6$  then  $(0, \dots, 0, \frac{n_r}{2}) = s(G) = 2\xi_1 + \xi_2$  is satisfied with  $\xi_1 = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$  and  $\xi_2 = (0, \dots, 0, \frac{n_r}{2} - 2)$  (if  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  $\xi_1 = 1$  and  $\xi_2 = \frac{n}{2} - 2$ ) which are distinct since  $\frac{n}{2} - 2 \not\equiv 1$  for all  $n \neq 6$ . When  $n = 6$ , take  $\xi_1 = (0, \dots, 0, 5)$  and  $\xi_2 = (0, \dots, 0, 2)$  (if  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  $\xi_1 = 5$  and  $\xi_2 = 2$ ). Thus,  $\mu^\wedge(G, \{n-2, 1\}) = n-2$ .

□

3/18/19 (rv. 3/20/19) (rw.3/28/19)

**Proposition 5.** For any  $G$  with  $|G| = n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ ,

$$\mu^\wedge(G, \{2, 1\}) = \frac{n}{2}.$$

PROOF. Write  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_r}$ . By Proposition 2,

$$\mu^\wedge(G, \{2, 1\}) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n - 2 + 2 + 1}{2} \right\rfloor = \frac{n}{2}.$$

If  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , there is some  $n_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , so we can take  $A \subseteq G$  to be the set with all the elements of  $G$  whose  $i$ th element is congruent to 1 mod 2. The  $i$ th entry of the sum of any two elements in  $A$  will be congruent to 0 mod 2, so  $2 \wedge A \cap 1 \wedge A = \emptyset$ . Thus,

$$\mu^\wedge(G, \{2, 1\}) \geq |A| = n_1 \cdots n_{i-1} \cdot \frac{n_i}{2} \cdot n_{i+1} \cdots n_r = \frac{n}{2}.$$

□

3/26/19 (rv. 4/4/19)

NOTE: This means that by Proposition G.21,  $\mu^\wedge(\mathbb{Z}_2^r, \{2, 1\}) = 2^{r-1} = \mu(\mathbb{Z}_2^r, \{2, 1\})$ .

**Conjecture 6.** For all positive integers  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ ,

$$\mu^\wedge(\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2}, \{2, 1\}) = \begin{cases} \mu & \text{if } \exists p \in \mathbb{P}, p|n, p \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ \mu + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When  $n_1$  does not divide  $n_2$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n_1 n_2} = \mathbb{Z}_n$ , so by Theorem G.67 (Zannier; cf. [205]),

$$\mu^\wedge(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{2, 1\}) = \begin{cases} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{n}{3} & \\ \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1 & \end{cases} = \begin{cases} v_1(n, 3) \cdot \frac{n}{n} & \\ v_1(n, 3) \cdot \frac{n}{n} + 1 & \end{cases} \stackrel{\text{G.18}}{=} \begin{cases} \mu(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{2, 1\}) & \text{if } \exists x \in \mathbb{P}, x|n, x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\ & \text{and } p \text{ is the smallest such } x \\ \mu(\mathbb{Z}_n, \{2, 1\}) + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

When  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , clearly the smallest prime divisor of  $n$  congruent to 2 mod 3 is 2, so by Proposition 5 and Proposition G.18,

$$\mu^\wedge(\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2}, \{2, 1\}) \stackrel{5}{=} \frac{n}{2} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{n}{3} = v_1(n, 3) \cdot \frac{n}{n} \stackrel{\text{G.18}}{=} \mu(\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2}, \{2, 1\}).$$

Now we should consider when  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

**Proposition 7.** For any positive integer  $w \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ,

$$\mu^{\wedge}(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{3w}, \{2, 1\}) \geq 3w + 1.$$

PROOF. Consider the sets

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \{0\} \times \{-w, -w + 2, \dots, w - 2, w\}, \\ A_1 &= \{1\} \times \{0, 2, \dots, 2w - 4, 2w - 2\}, \text{ and} \\ A_2 &= \{2\} \times \{-2w + 2, -2w + 4, \dots, -2, 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and let  $A = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup A_2$ . Observe that  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$ , and  $A_2$  are disjoint, so

$$|A| = |A_0| + |A_1| + |A_2| = \left( \frac{w - (-w)}{2} + 1 \right) + (w - 1 - 0 + 1) + (w - 1 - 0 + 1) = w + 1 + w + w = 3w + 1.$$

We can recognize the elements in  $A_0$ ,  $A_1$ , and  $A_2$  as arithmetic sequences (with a common difference of 2), so we can easily write

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{\wedge}A_0 &= \{0\} \times \{-2w + 2, -2w + 4, \dots, 2w - 4, 2w - 2\}, \\ A_1 + A_2 &= \{0\} \times \{-2w + 2, -2w + 4, \dots, 2w - 4, 2w - 2\}, \\ 2^{\wedge}A_2 &= \{1\} \times \{-4w + 6, -4w + 8, \dots, -4, -2\}, \\ A_0 + A_1 &= \{1\} \times \{-w, -w + 2, \dots, 3w - 4, 3w - 2\}, \\ 2^{\wedge}A_1 &= \{2\} \times \{2, 4, \dots, 4w - 8, 4w - 6\}, \text{ and} \\ A_0 + A_2 &= \{2\} \times \{-3w + 2, -3w + 4, \dots, w - 2, w\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that since  $-4w \equiv -w \pmod{3w}$  and  $-3w \equiv 0 \pmod{3w}$ ,  $2^{\wedge}A_0 = A_1 + A_2$ ,  $2^{\wedge}A_2 \subset A_0 + A_1$ , and  $2^{\wedge}A_1 \subset A_0 + A_2$ . Now we only must show that

$$A_0 \cap A_1 + A_2 = \emptyset, \quad A_1 \cap A_0 + A_1 = \emptyset, \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 \cap A_0 + A_2 = \emptyset.$$

In  $\mathbb{Z}_{3w}$ ,  $-2w \equiv w$ , so we can recognize that the elements of  $A_1 + A_2$  continue the arithmetic sequence in  $A_0$  and since  $2w \equiv -w$ , the elements of  $A_0$  continue the arithmetic sequence in  $A_1 + A_2$ . The same is true for  $A_0 + A_1$  with  $A_1$ , and  $A_0 + A_2$  with  $A_2$ . The three sequences are the same, since they all contain 0 and have a common difference of 2, and repeat in  $3w$  terms (because  $3w \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ). Because the sequence has  $3w$  unique terms,

$$A_0 \cap A_1 + A_2 = \emptyset, \quad A_1 \cap A_0 + A_1 = \emptyset, \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 \cap A_0 + A_2 = \emptyset.$$

□

4/9/19

NOTE: By Theorem G.18, if  $w$  has no prime divisor congruent to 2 mod 3,

$$\mu^{\wedge}(\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_2}, \{2, 1\}) = 3w + 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{3w}{3} \right\rfloor \cdot 3 + 1 = v_1(3w, 3) \cdot \frac{9w}{3w} \stackrel{\text{G.18}}{\equiv} \mu(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{3w}, \{2, 1\}) + 1.$$

**Proposition 8.** For all positive  $\kappa \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ ,

$$\mu^{\wedge}(\mathbb{Z}_{\kappa}^2, \{2, 1\}) \geq \frac{\kappa-1}{3} \cdot \kappa + 1.$$

PROOF. Write

$$B = \left\{ 1 - \frac{\kappa-1}{3}, 3 - \frac{\kappa-1}{3}, \dots, \frac{\kappa-1}{3} - 3, \frac{\kappa-1}{3} - 1 \right\}$$

and consider the sets

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \{0\} \times \left( B \cup \left\{ \frac{\kappa-1}{3} + 1 \right\} \right), \\ A_1 &= \{1\} \times B, \\ A_2 &= \{2\} \times B, \\ &\vdots \\ A_{\kappa-2} &= \{\kappa-2\} \times B, \text{ and} \\ A_{\kappa-1} &= \{\kappa-1\} \times B, \end{aligned}$$

and take  $A = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\kappa-1} A_i$ . We can see that  $|A| = \binom{\kappa-1}{3} + 1 + (\kappa-1) \binom{\kappa-1}{3} = \kappa \binom{\kappa-1}{3} + 1$ . We will show that  $A$  is weak  $(2, 1)$ -sum-free. Notice that elements of  $B$  form an arithmetic sequence with a common difference of 2, so any two elements of  $A^* = A \setminus \{(0, \frac{\kappa-1}{3})\}$  will sum to an element whose second coordinate is in

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \left\{ 2 - \frac{2\kappa-2}{3}, 4 - \frac{2\kappa-2}{3}, \dots, \frac{2\kappa-2}{3} - 4, \frac{2\kappa-2}{3} - 2 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ 2 - \frac{2\kappa-2}{3}, 2 - \frac{2\kappa-2}{3} + (2), \dots, 2 - \frac{2\kappa-2}{3} + \left( \frac{4\kappa-4}{3} - 6 \right), 2 - \frac{2\kappa-2}{3} + \left( \frac{4\kappa-4}{3} - 4 \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

whose elements also form an arithmetic sequence with a common difference of 2. Observe that the sequence in  $C$  continues the sequence in  $B$  (without the term  $\frac{\kappa-1}{3} + 1$ ) and has

$$\frac{\frac{4\kappa-4}{3} - 4}{2} + 1 = \frac{2\kappa-2}{3} - 1$$

terms, while the sequence in  $B$  has  $\frac{\kappa-1}{3}$  terms. The full sequence,  $(0, 2, \dots, \kappa-4, \kappa-2)$ , repeats in a minimum of  $\kappa$  terms (since  $\kappa \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ), and because

$$|B| + |C| = \frac{\kappa-1}{3} + \frac{2\kappa-2}{3} - 1 = \frac{3\kappa-3}{3} - 1 = \kappa - 2 < \kappa,$$

we know that  $B \cap C = \emptyset$ . This shows that  $(A^* + A^*) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Now we just must show that

$$\left( A^* + \left\{ \left( 0, \frac{\kappa-1}{3} + 1 \right) \right\} \right) \cap A = \emptyset,$$

or equivalently, that for all  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \kappa-2, \kappa-1\}$ , for all  $x \in (A_i \cap A^*)$ ,

$$x + \left( 0, \frac{\kappa-1}{3} + 1 \right) \notin A_i.$$

Observe that for all such  $i$ , for all  $x \in (A_i \cap A^*)$ ,

$$x + \left( 0, \frac{\kappa-1}{3} + 1 \right) \in (\{i\} \times B) + \left\{ \left( 0, \frac{\kappa-1}{3} + 1 \right) \right\} = \{i\} \times \left\{ 2, 4, \dots, -3 - \frac{\kappa-1}{3}, -1 - \frac{\kappa-1}{3} \right\} = \{i\} \times D.$$

The elements of  $D$  also form an arithmetic sequence with a common difference of 2 and the sequence in  $B$  continues the sequence in  $D$ . Again, the full sequence,  $(0, 2, \dots, \kappa-4, \kappa-2)$ , repeats in a minimum of  $\kappa$  terms (since  $\kappa \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ), and because

$$|B| + |D| = \frac{\kappa-1}{3} + \frac{\kappa-1}{3} = \frac{2\kappa-2}{3} < \kappa,$$

we know that  $B \cap D = \emptyset$ . Considering  $i = 0$ , we must show that  $\{\frac{\kappa-1}{3} + 1\} \cap D = \emptyset$ : recognize that  $-1 - \frac{\kappa-1}{3} \equiv 2 \left(\frac{\kappa-1}{3}\right) \pmod{\kappa}$  and since  $\kappa \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ ,  $\frac{\kappa-1}{3} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . This means that

$$2 \left(\frac{\kappa-1}{3}\right) - \frac{\kappa-1}{3} = \frac{\kappa-1}{3} \in D.$$

Since  $|D| = \frac{\kappa-1}{3} < \kappa$ ,  $\frac{\kappa-1}{3} + 1 \notin D$ , so we are done.

□  
4/23/19

NOTE: For all  $\kappa$  with no prime divisors congruent to 2 mod 3,

$$\mu^{\wedge}(\mathbb{Z}_{\kappa}^2, \{2, 1\}) = \kappa \left(\frac{\kappa-1}{3}\right) + 1 = v_1(\kappa, 3) \cdot \frac{\kappa^2}{\kappa} + 1 \stackrel{\text{G.18}}{=} \mu(\mathbb{Z}_{\kappa}^2, \{2, 1\}) + 1.$$